



Received: 30 January, 2023
Accepted: 13 February, 2023
Published: 14 February, 2023

*Corresponding author: Anatolij K Prykarpatski, Professor of Mathematical Physics, Department of Applied Mathematics at the University of Technology, Cracow, Poland, Tel: +48535531185, Tel: +48535531185; E-mail: pryk.anat@cybergal.com

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5124-5890>

Copyright License: © 2023 Vovk MI, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

<https://www.peertechzpublications.com>



Mini Review

A remark on a perturbed Benjamin-Bona-Mahony type equation and its complete integrability

Myroslava I Vovk¹, Petro Ya Pukach¹ and Anatolij K Prykarpatski^{1,2*}

¹Department of Advanced Mathematics at the Lviv Polytechnics National University Lviv, Ukraine

²Department of Applied Mathematics at the University of Technology, Cracow, Poland

Abstract

In the Letter, we study a perturbed Benjamin-Bona-Mahony nonlinear equation, which was derived for describing shallow water waves and possessing a rich Lie symmetry structure. Based on the gradient-holonomic integrability checking scheme applied to this equation, we have analytically constructed its infinite hierarchy of conservation laws, derived two compatible Poisson structure and stated its complete integrability.

Introduction

The well-known Benjamin-Bona-Mahony (BBM) equation

$$u_t + u_x + uu_x - u_{xxt} = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

Where $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$ is a smooth real-valued function, symbols " $u_{x\dots}$ " denote its partial derivatives with respect to spatial $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and temporal $t \in \mathbb{R}$ parameters, describe nonlinear waves on shallow water and were derived for the first time in [1] and reintroduced later in [2]. It was recently mentioned [3] that, as this equation lacks a Galilean symmetry and loses some important properties, this equation (1.1) was suitably perturbed with some higher order terms that helped to recover this symmetry, yet this remedy appeared to lead to the simultaneous loss of the presence of the energy conservation law. A more detailed analysis of this aspect led the authors of the recent work [4] to study the following perturbed version of the equation (1.1):

$$u_t - u_{xxt} = -u_x - uu_x + \alpha(uu_{xxx} + 2u_x u_{xx}) \quad (1.2)$$

For different real parameters $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, where they stated by means of standard computer-assisted Lie symmetry analysis [5] that at the value $\alpha = 1/3$ the evolution flow (1.2) possesses a wide hierarchy of Lie symmetries and additionally a one Lie-Backlund [5] symmetry

$$u_\tau = \frac{u_x - u_{xxx}}{[2(u - u_{xx}) + 3]^{3/2}} \quad (1.3)$$

With respect to an evolution parameter $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, based on analysis of the calculated symmetries, the authors of the work [4] show that the flow (1.2) is Hamiltonian:

$$u_t = -g \text{grad} \tilde{H}_1[u], \quad \tilde{H}_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int [u^2 + 1/3(u^3 + uu_x^2)] dx, \quad (1.4)$$

With respect to the Poisson operator

$$g := (\partial^{-1} - \partial)^{-1}, \quad (1.5)$$

Where, by definition, $\partial := \partial / \partial x, x \in \mathbb{R}$, and put forward a hypothesis that the evolution flow (1.2) is closely related to the well known Camassa-Holm equation [6,7] and is a completely integrable [8-10] Hamiltonian system.



Our Letter is devoted to strong analytical proving this hypothesis both for the Hamiltonian system (1.4) and its symmetry flow (1.3).

Integrability analysis

First we observe that the perturbed nonlinear BBM [2] type equation (1.2) being equivalent to the nonlinear dynamical system

$$u_t = -(1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} [u_x + uu_x - 1/3(uu_{xxx} + 2u_x u_{xx})] := \tilde{F}[u] \tag{2.1}$$

On a functional manifold $M \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$ of smooth real valued functions, possesses as its symmetry the evolution flow

$$u_\tau = \frac{u_x - u_{3x}}{[2(u - u_{xx}) + 3]^{3/2}} := \tilde{K}[u], \tag{2.2}$$

Which jointly with (2.1) are commuting to each other, that is

$$[\tilde{K}, \tilde{F}] = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

For all smooth functions $u \in M$. Moreover, it is easy to check that the flows (2.1) and (2.2) above reduce via the argument transformation $u(x,t) \rightarrow v(x - 1/2t, t) - 3/2$ for all $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ to the following equivalent Hamiltonian forms:

$$v_\tau = -(\partial_x^{-1} - \partial)^{-1} \text{grad} H_1[v] := -\mathcal{G} \text{grad} H_1[v] := F[v], \quad H_1 := \frac{1}{2} \int (v^2 + v_x^2)(v/3 - 1) dx, \tag{2.4}$$

With respect to the evolution parameter $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$v_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{v - v_{xx}}} \right) = -\mathcal{G} \text{grad} H_2[v] := K[v], \quad H_2 = 2 \int \sqrt{v - v_{xx}} dx, \tag{2.5}$$

With respect to the evolution parameter $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, respectively, where the skew-symmetric Poisson operator $\mathcal{G}: T^*(M) \rightarrow T(M)$ naturally acts as a pseudo-differential expression [11,12] from the cotangent space $T^*(M)$ to the tangent space $T(M)$ over the functional Poisson manifold M and determines the related Poisson bracket

$$\{, \} := (\text{grad}(\cdot) | \mathcal{G} \text{grad}(\cdot)) \tag{2.6}$$

Over the space of functionals on M endowed with the natural bilinear form $(\cdot | \cdot): T^*(M) \times T(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Being interested in proving the suspected complete integrability of these flows, we take into account that the flows (2.4) and (2.5) commute to each other, thus yielding that it is enough to check the integrability of the second looking more simply flow (2.5) on a smooth functional manifold M and show that the first flow (2.1) enters into a infinite hierarchy of commuting to each other Hamiltonian flows generated by the second flow (2.5). Within the nowadays existing three effective enough integrability checking schemes [13-15], we stopped on the gradient-holonomic integrability checking scheme [14], within which one needs first [16,17] to find an asymptotic

solution to a Baker-Akhiezer type function $\varphi(x;\lambda) \in T^*(M)$, $\lambda \in \Gamma$, defined [8,9,14,18] on the spectrum Γ of a suitably chosen Lax type [8,10,18] operator, equivalent to the recursion operator $\Lambda: T^*(M) \rightarrow T^*(M)$, being its eigenfunction with some iso-spectral eigenvalue, whose value does not matter for us. This, in particular, means that the following functional-operator equations on the manifold M are compatible:

$$\Lambda \varphi(x;\lambda) = \xi(\lambda) \varphi(x;\lambda) \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$d\Lambda / dt = [\Lambda, K^{\prime*}], \tag{2.8}$$

Where the latter follows from the determining Lax-Noether linear equation

$$\varphi_t + K^{\prime*} \varphi = 0 \tag{2.9}$$

And the Magri type [14,15,19] symmetry hereditary property, whose asymptotic $\lambda \rightarrow \infty \in \Gamma$ solution is in general representable as

$$\varphi \sim \exp[-\lambda^3 t + \partial^{-1}(\sigma_{-1} \lambda^1 + \sigma_0 + \sigma_1 \lambda^{-1} + \sigma_2 \lambda^{-2} + \dots)], \tag{2.10}$$

Where the functionals

$$\gamma_j := \int \sigma_j[v] dx \tag{2.11}$$

Are, by construction, the corresponding conservation laws of the Hamiltonian system (2.5), that is

$$\frac{d}{dt} \gamma_j \Big|_{v_t = K[v]} = 0 \tag{2.12}$$

For all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{-1\}$. In particular, one easily obtains recurrently that

$$\sigma_{-1} = 2^{1/3} \sqrt{v - v_{xx}}, \sigma_0 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dx} \ln(v_{xx} - v), \tag{2.13}$$

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{8(v - v_{xx})v_{xxxx} + 13v_{xxx}^2 - 26v_x v_{xxx} + 12v_{xx}^2 - 16vv_{xx} + 13v_x^2 + 4v^2}{3 \cdot 2^{10/3} (v - v_{xx})^2}$$

Whence conservation laws equal

$$\gamma_{-1} = 2^{1/3} \int \sqrt{v - v_{xx}} dx = 2^{1/3} H_2, \gamma_0 = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d}{dx} \ln(v_{xx} - v) dx = 0, \tag{2.14}$$

$$\gamma_1 = \int dx \frac{8(v - v_{xx})v_{xxxx} + 13v_{xxx}^2 - 26v_x v_{xxx} + 12v_{xx}^2 - 16vv_{xx} + 13v_x^2 + 4v^2}{3 \cdot 2^{10/3} (v - v_{xx})^2}, \dots,$$

And so on. Moreover, as these conservation laws naturally generate an infinite hierarchy of commuting to each other Hamiltonian flows

$$v_{t_j} := -(\partial_x^{-1} - \partial_x)^{-1} \text{grad} \gamma_j[v] := K_j[v], \quad [K_j, K_s] = 0, \tag{2.15}$$

as well as



$$\{\gamma_j, \gamma_s\} = 0 \tag{2.16}$$

For all $j, s \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{-1\}$ with respect to the related evolution parameters $t_j \in \mathbb{R}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{-1\}$, we can deduce that our dynamical system (2.5) and respectively, its commuting symmetry - the system (2.4), are jointly integrable Hamiltonian flows on the functional manifold M . Taking into account the resulting existence of the recursion operator $\Lambda : T^*(M) \rightarrow T^*(M)$, satisfying the second equation of (2.5) and related gradient recursion relationships

$$\Lambda grad \gamma_j = grad \gamma_{j+2} \tag{2.17}$$

For all, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{-1\}$, we also naturally derive the existence of the second Poisson operator

$$\eta := \vartheta \Lambda : T^*(M) \rightarrow T(M), \tag{2.18}$$

Compatible [10,17,18] with the first Poisson operator $\vartheta : T^*(M) \rightarrow T(M)$ on the functional manifold M , that is the sum $(\vartheta + \lambda \eta) : T^*(M) \rightarrow T(M)$ persists to be Poissonian for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. The exact expression for the second Poisson operator is easily obtained from the operator relationship, naturally following from the Lax-Noether equation (2.9) in the case when its solution $\psi \in T^*(M)$ is not symmetric, that is $\psi' \neq \psi'^*$ on the whole manifold M . It is easy to check that the conservation law $H_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int (v^2 + v_x^2)(v/3 - 1) dx$ can be represented in the following equivalent form

$$H_1 = (1/3 v_x v - 1/3 \partial^{-1} v^2 | v_x) + (\partial^{-1} v - v_x | v_x) := (\psi_1 | v_x) \tag{2.19}$$

With respect to the standard bilinear form $(\cdot | \cdot) : T^*(M) \times T(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on the product $T^*(M) \times T(M)$, where $\psi_1 = 1/3 v_x v - 1/3 \partial^{-1} v^2 + \partial^{-1} v - v_x \in T^*(M)$ does satisfy the Lax-Noether equation (2.9): $\psi_t + K^* \psi = 0 \pmod{grad L}$ for some smooth function $L : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ on the whole manifold M . Then, it is easy to observe that the operator

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1^{-1} &= \psi_1' - \psi_1'^* = 2(\partial^{-1} - \partial) + 1/3(\partial \circ v + v \partial) - 2/3(v \partial^{-1} + \partial^{-1} v) = \\ &= 2\vartheta^{-1} + 2/3(\sqrt{v} \partial \sqrt{v} - 2\partial^{-1} \sqrt{v} \partial \sqrt{v} \partial^{-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

Is *a priori* symplectic on the functional manifold M jointly with the expression

$$\eta^{-1} = \sqrt{v} \partial \sqrt{v} - 2\partial^{-1} \sqrt{v} \partial \sqrt{v} \partial^{-1}, \tag{2.21}$$

Since a linear combination of symplectic operators, if nondegenerate, is always [20,21] symplectic. The usual way one check that the operators $\vartheta, \eta : T^*(M) \rightarrow T(M)$ are, as expected, compatible with M , that is the operator $\eta^{-1} \vartheta, \eta^{-1} : T^*(M) \rightarrow T^*(M)$ proves to be also symplectic. Similarly one can find that

$$H_2 = 2 \int \sqrt{v - v_{xx}} dx := (\psi_2 | v_x) = \left((\partial - \partial^{-1}) \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{v - v_{xx}}} \right) | v_x \right), \tag{2.22}$$

Providing the generating element

$$\psi_2 = (\partial - \partial^{-1}) \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{v - v_{xx}}} \right) \in T^*(M), \text{ which gives rise to the}$$

second compatible symplectic operator

$$\eta_2^{-1} = \psi_2' - \psi_2'^* = (\partial^{-1} - \partial) \left(\frac{1}{(v - v_{xx})^{3/2}} \partial + \partial \frac{1}{(v - v_{xx})^{3/2}} \right) (\partial^{-1} - \partial). \tag{2.23}$$

Moreover, as there holds the representation $\eta_2^{-1} = \vartheta^{-1} \eta_3 \vartheta^{-1}$, we easily derive that the operator expression

$$\eta_3 = \frac{1}{(v - v_{xx})^{3/2}} \partial + \partial \frac{1}{(v - v_{xx})^{3/2}} \tag{2.24}$$

Presents the third compatible Poisson operator $\eta : T^*(M) \rightarrow T(M)$ for the Hamiltonian system (2.5) on the functional manifold M and determines the recursion operator

$$\Lambda = \eta^{-1} \vartheta = \sqrt{v} \partial \sqrt{v} - 2\partial^{-1} \sqrt{v} \partial \sqrt{v} \partial^{-1} (\partial^{-1} - \partial)^{-1}, \tag{2.25}$$

Satisfying the relationships (2.17), which can be naturally extended back to the negative values of indices $j \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$\Lambda grad \gamma_j = grad \gamma_{j+2} \tag{2.26}$$

In particular, one can calculate that $\Lambda^{-1} grad \gamma_{-1} = grad \gamma_{-3}$, where $\gamma_{-3} = H_1$, yielding right away that the Hamiltonian flow (2.4) possesses an infinite hierarchy of commuting to each other conservation laws (2.14), thus being a completely integrable Hamiltonian system on the manifold M .

Thus, we have stated the following summarizing integrability theorem.

Theorem 2.1 *The nonlinear dynamical systems (2.4) and (2.5) possess a common infinite hierarchy of commuting to each other conservation laws (2.14) with respect to both Poisson brackets (1.5) and (2.21), thus presenting on the smooth functional Poisson manifold M related to each other completely integrable bi-Hamiltonian systems.*

As a natural conclusion from the presented above integrability analysis of the perturbed nonlinear BBM type equation (1.2), one can state the true analytical effectiveness of the gradient-holonomic integrability checking scheme. Its implementing too many other nonlinear dynamical systems, possessing a rich Lie symmetry structure, and modeling diverse evolution phenomena in physical and biological sciences, is expected to be both mathematically attractive and useful.

Acknowledgement

The authors are indebted to Denys Dutych (Université Savoie Mont Blanc, France), Radosław Kycia and Jan Koroński (Cracov Polytechnical University, Poland) for useful discussions, comments and remarks on the manuscript. Especially the authors are appreciative to Yarema A.



Prykarpatsky (Agriculture University of Cracow, Poland) for instrumental help in computing conserved invariants and studying the related Poisson structures.

References

1. Peregrine DH. Calculations of the development of an undular bore. *J. Fluid Mech.* 1966; 25:321-330. doi:10.1017/S0022112066001678.
2. Benjamin TB, Bona JL, Mahony JJ. Model equations for long waves in nonlinear dispersive systems. *Philos. Trans. Royal Soc. London Ser. A* 1972; 272:47-78.
3. Duran A, Dutykh D, Mitsotakis D. On the Galilean Invariance of Some Nonlinear Dispersive Wave Equations. *Stud. Appl. Math.* 2013; 131:359-388. doi:10.1111/sapm.12015.
4. Cheviakov AI, Dutykh D, Assylbekuly A. On Galilean invariant and energy preserving BBM-type equations. 2021. (<https://www.mdpi.com/journal/symmetry>).
5. Olver PJ. Applications of Lie groups to differential equations, 2 ed.; Vol. 107, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag: New York. 1993; 500. doi:10.1007/978-1-4684-0274-2.
6. Johnson RS. Camassa-Holm, Korteweg-de Vries and related models for water waves. *J. Fluid Mech.* 2002; 455:63-82.
7. Dullin HR, Gottwald GA, Holm DD. Camassa-Holm. Korteweg-de Vries-5 and other asymptotically equivalent equations for shallow water waves. *Fluid Dyn. Res.* 2003; 33:73-95.
8. Novikov S, Manakov SV, Pitaevskii LP, Zakharov VE. Theory of solitons, Springer. 1984.
9. Moser J, Zehnder E. Notes on Dynamical Systems, Courant Lecture Notes in Math. 2005;12: NYU.
10. Takhtajan LA, Faddeev LD. Hamiltonian methods in the theory of solitons. Springer. 2007.
11. Shubin MA. Pseudodifferential Operators and Spectral Theory, Springer. 2002.
12. Artemovych OD, Balinsky AA, Blackmore D, Prykarpatski AK. Reduced Pre-Lie Algebraic Structures, the Weak and Weakly Deformed Balinsky-Novikov Type Symmetry Algebras and Related Hamiltonian Operators. *Symmetry.* 2018; 10:601. doi:10.3390/sym10110601.
13. Dubrovin BA. On Hamiltonian Perturbations of Hyperbolic Systems of Conservation Laws, II: Universality of Critical Behaviour. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 2006; 267:117-139. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-006-0021-5>; <https://gdeq.org/files/seminar-moscow-21.pdf>
14. Mitropolsky YuA, Bogolubov NN, Prykarpatsky AK, Samoilenko VHR. Integrable dynamical systems. Spectral and differential geometric aspects. K Naukova Dumka. 1987.
15. Shabat AB, Mikhailov AV. Symmetries - test of integrability, in: Important developments in soliton theory, in: Springer Ser. Nonlinear Dynam., Springer, Berlin. 1993; 355-374.
16. Blackmore D, Prykarpatsky AK, Samoilenko VHR. Nonlinear dynamical systems of mathematical physics: spectral and differential-geometrical integrability analysis. World Scientific Publ., NJ, USA. 2011.
17. Prykarpatsky AK, Mykytyuk IV. Algebraic integrability of nonlinear dynamical systems on manifolds: classical and quantum aspects. Kluwer Academic Publishers, the Netherlands. 1998.
18. Newell A. Solitons in mathematics and physics. SIAM. 1985.
19. Magri F. A Simple Model of the Integrable Hamiltonian Equation, *J. Math. Phys.* 1978; 19(5):1156-1162.
20. Abraham R, Marsden J. Foundations of mechanics. Benjamin/Cummings Publisher. 2008.
21. Arnold VI. Mathematical methods of classical mechanics. Springer. 1989.

Discover a bigger Impact and Visibility of your article publication with Peertechz Publications

Highlights

- ◆ Signatory publisher of ORCID
- ◆ Signatory Publisher of DORA (San Francisco Declaration on Research Assessment)
- ◆ Articles archived in worlds' renowned service providers such as Portico, CNKI, AGRIS, TDNet, Base (Bielefeld University Library), CrossRef, Scilit, J-Gate etc.
- ◆ Journals indexed in ICMJE, SHERPA/ROMED, Google Scholar etc.
- ◆ OA-PMH (Open Archives Initiative Protocol for Metadata Harvesting)
- ◆ Dedicated Editorial Board for every journal
- ◆ Accurate and rapid peer-review process
- ◆ Increased citations of published articles through promotions
- ◆ Reduced timeline for article publication

Submit your articles and experience a new surge in publication services (<https://www.peertechz.com/submission>).

Peertechz journals wishes everlasting success in your every endeavor.